Significance
Does the paper contribute a major breakthrough or an incremental advance?
- 3: high (substantial contribution or strong impact)
- 2: medium (modest contribution or average impact)
- 1: low (minimal contribution or weak impact)

Soundness
Is the technical development correct or does the paper exhibit inaccuracies?
- 3: correct
- 2: minor inconsistencies or small fixable errors
- 1: major errors

Scholarship
Is the work well positioned with respect to the existing literature? If relevant references are missing, please provide examples in your comments to the authors.
- 3: excellent coverage of related work
- 2: relevant literature cited but could expand
- 1: important related work missing or mischaracterizes prior research

Clarity
Assess the clarity of the presentation and reproducibility of the results.
- 3: well written
- 2: mostly readable with some scope of improvement
- 1: hard to follow

Breadth of Interest
Would the paper attract broad interest or is it targeted to a narrow audience?
- 4: broad interest across AI audience
- 3: some interest beyond specialty area
- 2: limited to specialty area
- 1: out of scope for an AI audience

Summary Rating
- 5: ++++++ (strong accept)
- 4: ++++
- 3: +++
- 2: ++
- 1: + (weak accept)
- -1: - (weak reject)
- -2: --
- -3: ---
- -4: ----
- -5: ----- (strong reject)