

```
>> dt {Starting with the dt matrix corresponding to the database/statistics running example}
```

```
dt =
```

```
24 21 9 0 0 3  
32 10 5 0 3 0  
12 16 5 0 0 0  
6 7 2 0 0 0  
43 31 20 0 3 0  
2 0 0 18 7 16  
0 0 1 32 12 0  
3 0 0 22 4 2  
1 0 0 34 27 25  
6 0 0 17 4 23
```

```
>> dd=dt*dt' {Computing the dd matrix—the doc-doc correlation matrix which is dt*dt' (where dt' is the transpose of dt) Notices it is a square positive symmetric matrix of size 10x10; because it is symmetric, it will have +ve real-valued eigen values}
```

```
dd =
```

Columns 1 through 9

```
1107 1023 669 309 1863 96 9 78 99  
1023 1158 569 272 1795 85 41 108 113  
669 569 425 194 1112 24 5 36 12  
309 272 194 89 515 12 2 18 6  
1863 1795 1112 515 3219 107 56 141 124  
96 85 24 12 107 633 660 462 1203  
9 41 5 2 56 660 1169 752 1412  
78 108 36 18 141 462 752 513 909  
99 113 12 6 124 1203 1412 909 2511  
213 204 72 36 270 714 592 454 1267
```

Column 10

```
213  
204  
72
```

36
270
714
592
454
1267
870

>> eig(dd) {Sure enough, if I find the eigen values of dd, I get the following. Look ma, keeping it all real..}

ans =

1.0e+003 *

-0.0000
0.0000
0.0000
0.0000
0.0230
0.1455
0.1813
0.5260
4.8336
5.9845

>> sqrt(eig(dd)) {And if I take the +ve square root of these, I get the *singular values*; and these are the ones I had showed on the slide... Intuitively, notices that dd involves multiplying d-t by d-t' or in some way, “squaring” it, so it is reasonable to have singular value of d-t be the square-roots of the eigen values of d-d}

ans =

0 + 0.0000i
0.0000
0.0000
0.0000
4.7964
12.0632
13.4662
22.9342

69.5242

77.3599

>> [df dl]=eig(dd) *{actually, the full eigen decomposition of dd gives both the eigen vectors (which is the orthonormal basis for the documents, and the eigen values—which are the squares of singular values). The eigen vectors correspond to the d-f matrix of our eventual svd}*

df =

Columns 1 through 6

0.7179	0.1775	0.2545	0.0358	0.3249	-0.1874
-0.0935	0.0204	0.0210	-0.1078	0.3492	0.2941
-0.5655	0.2866	-0.1937	-0.0998	0.5388	-0.1528
0.0070	-0.9337	-0.1013	-0.0086	0.2816	-0.0616
-0.1659	-0.0639	-0.0563	0.0640	-0.6129	0.0565
-0.3082	-0.0947	0.7912	-0.1857	-0.0534	-0.2494
0.1377	0.0132	-0.0978	-0.5464	-0.0445	-0.0995
-0.0810	0.0132	0.0071	0.7536	0.0699	-0.3898
0.0484	0.0184	-0.0861	0.2092	0.0785	0.6018
0.0752	0.0216	-0.4907	-0.1684	-0.1070	-0.5117

Columns 7 through 10

0.2327	0.0730	-0.1653	0.3994
-0.7699	0.0090	-0.1551	0.3918
0.4114	-0.0367	-0.1110	0.2327
0.1423	-0.0141	-0.0512	0.1083
0.1571	-0.0750	-0.2964	0.6813
-0.0267	0.2256	0.3152	0.1397
-0.0142	-0.6901	0.4029	0.1488
-0.2055	-0.3819	0.2555	0.1231
0.2312	0.1940	0.6483	0.2575
-0.2060	0.5261	0.3139	0.1837

dl =

1.0e+003 *

Columns 1 through 6

```
-0.0000    0    0    0    0    0  
  0  0.0000    0    0    0    0  
  0    0  0.0000    0    0    0  
  0    0    0  0.0000    0    0  
  0    0    0    0  0.0230    0  
  0    0    0    0    0  0.1455  
  0    0    0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0    0    0
```

Columns 7 through 10

```
  0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0  
  0    0    0    0  
0.1813    0    0    0  
  0  0.5260    0    0  
  0    0  4.8336    0  
  0    0    0  5.9845
```

>> tt=dt'*dt {Now let's do the term-term correlation matrix, tt which is given by dt'*dt. Notice, once again that it is a positive symmetric matrix. So we expect +ve real valued eigen values}

tt =

3679	2391	1308	238	302	273
2391	1807	953	0	123	63
1308	953	536	32	87	27
238	0	32	3277	1584	1573
302	123	87	1584	972	887
273	63	27	1573	887	1423

>> eig(tt) {sure enough the eigen values are all positive!}

```
ans =  
1.0e+003 *
```

```
0.0230  
0.1455  
0.1813  
0.5260  
4.8336  
5.9845
```

```
>> sqrt(eig(tt)) {and taking their +ve square roots, we see that these correspond to the same singular values as we got for dd}
```

```
ans =  
4.7964  
12.0632  
13.4662  
22.9342  
69.5242  
77.3599
```

```
>> [tf tl]=eig(tt) {If we capture the full eigen decomposition—i.e., both the eigen vectors and eigen values, we get tf as the eigen vectors—which will correspond to the tf in the svd}
```

```
tf =  
  
0.0653 0.0831 -0.6074 0.0413 -0.2788 0.7352  
0.3976 -0.1757 0.7156 -0.0604 -0.2351 0.4900  
-0.9121 -0.0060 0.2758 -0.0741 -0.1215 0.2677  
0.0005 -0.3717 -0.0815 -0.4745 0.7417 0.2826  
0.0568 0.8916 0.1783 -0.0473 0.3663 0.1831  
-0.0496 -0.1704 0.0671 0.8728 0.4097 0.1854
```

```
tl =
```

```

1.0e+003 *

0.0230      0      0      0      0      0
      0  0.1455      0      0      0      0
      0      0  0.1813      0      0      0
      0      0      0  0.5260      0      0
      0      0      0      0  4.8336      0
      0      0      0      0      0  5.9845

```

{Now let's do SVD. We expect to get three matrices, df, ff, tf, where df would be the eigen vectors we found for dd, tf would be the eigen vectors we found for tt, and ff would be the square-root of the eigen value diagonal matrix (for either tt or dd—as they will both be same.)}

```
>> [df ff tf]=svd(dt) {Let's do it. And see, we did get the three matrices as expected}
```

```
df =
```

Columns 1 through 6

```

-0.3994  0.1653  0.0730 -0.2327  0.1874 -0.3249
-0.3918  0.1551  0.0090  0.7699 -0.2941 -0.3492
-0.2327  0.1110 -0.0367 -0.4114  0.1528 -0.5388
-0.1083  0.0512 -0.0141 -0.1423  0.0616 -0.2816
-0.6813  0.2964 -0.0750 -0.1571 -0.0565  0.6129
-0.1397 -0.3152  0.2256  0.0267  0.2494  0.0534
-0.1488 -0.4029 -0.6901  0.0142  0.0995  0.0445
-0.1231 -0.2555 -0.3819  0.2055  0.3898 -0.0699
-0.2575 -0.6483  0.1940 -0.2312 -0.6018 -0.0785
-0.1837 -0.3139  0.5261  0.2060  0.5117  0.1070

```

Columns 7 through 10

```

-0.1329 -0.3190  0.6715 -0.2067
0.1193 -0.0165 -0.0808 -0.0136
0.4346  0.3616 -0.2800  0.2264
-0.6309 -0.3656 -0.5904 -0.0439
-0.0303  0.1173 -0.1510  0.0375
0.2735  0.0070 -0.2508 -0.7916
0.2944 -0.4700 -0.0391  0.1347
-0.4419  0.5975  0.1401 -0.0521

```

```
-0.1505  0.1306  0.0910  0.0765  
0.0291 -0.1572 -0.0242  0.4991
```

```
ff =
```

```
77.3599    0    0    0    0    0  
 0 69.5242    0    0    0    0  
 0    0 22.9342    0    0    0  
 0    0    0 13.4662    0    0  
 0    0    0    0 12.0632    0  
 0    0    0    0    0 4.7964  
 0    0    0    0    0    0  
 0    0    0    0    0    0  
 0    0    0    0    0    0  
 0    0    0    0    0    0
```

```
tf =
```

```
-0.7352  0.2788  0.0413  0.6074 -0.0831 -0.0653  
-0.4900  0.2351 -0.0604 -0.7156  0.1757 -0.3976  
-0.2677  0.1215 -0.0741 -0.2758  0.0060  0.9121  
-0.2826 -0.7417 -0.4745  0.0815  0.3717 -0.0005  
-0.1831 -0.3663 -0.0473 -0.1783 -0.8916 -0.0568  
-0.1854 -0.4097  0.8728 -0.0671  0.1704  0.0496
```

>> df*df' {Now, notice that df is the *ortho-normal* basis for dd, so, multiplying it by its transpose should give us an identity matrix. Let's check. Yeah—it works.}

```
ans =
```

Columns 1 through 6

```
1.0000 -0.0000  0.0000  0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  
-0.0000  1.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  
 0.0000 -0.0000  1.0000 -0.0000  0.0000  0.0000  
 0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000  1.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  
 0.0000  0.0000  0.0000  0.0000  1.0000  0.0000
```

```

-0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000  1.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000      0 -0.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000
 0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
-0.0000  0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000  0.0000

```

Columns 7 through 10

```

-0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
 0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000
      0  0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000  0.0000
 1.0000  0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000
 0.0000  1.0000  0.0000  0.0000
 0.0000  0.0000  1.0000 -0.0000
-0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  1.0000

```

>> tf*tf' {Same for tf—as tf is the orthonormal basis for the terms}

ans =

```

1.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000
 0.0000  1.0000 -0.0000      0 -0.0000  0.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000  1.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000
 0.0000      0 -0.0000  1.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  1.0000  0.0000
-0.0000  0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000  0.0000  1.0000

```

>> df*ff*tf' {and if we multiply df*tf*tf', we do get the original matrix back..}

ans =

```

24.0000 21.0000  9.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000  3.0000
32.0000 10.0000  5.0000 -0.0000  3.0000 -0.0000
12.0000 16.0000  5.0000  0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000
 6.0000  7.0000  2.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000
43.0000 31.0000 20.0000 -0.0000  3.0000 -0.0000

```

```

2.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 18.0000 7.0000 16.0000
-0.0000 -0.0000 1.0000 32.0000 12.0000 0.0000
3.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 22.0000 4.0000 2.0000
1.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 34.0000 27.0000 25.0000
6.0000 0.0000 -0.0000 17.0000 4.0000 23.0000

```

>> ff {now looking at the ff matrix, we see the eigen values arranged in the decreasing order}

ff =

```

77.3599      0      0      0      0      0
 0 69.5242      0      0      0      0
 0      0 22.9342      0      0      0
 0      0      0 13.4662      0      0
 0      0      0      0 12.0632      0
 0      0      0      0      0 4.7964
 0      0      0      0      0      0
 0      0      0      0      0      0
 0      0      0      0      0      0
 0      0      0      0      0      0

```

>> nf=ff {We want to take just the top 2 dimensions lets, say. We copy ff into nf...}

nf =

```

77.3599      0      0      0      0      0
 0 69.5242      0      0      0      0
 0      0 22.9342      0      0      0
 0      0      0 13.4662      0      0
 0      0      0      0 12.0632      0
 0      0      0      0      0 4.7964
 0      0      0      0      0      0
 0      0      0      0      0      0
 0      0      0      0      0      0
 0      0      0      0      0      0

```

>> nf(3,3)=0 {and set all the diagonal entries of nf other than 1,1 and 2,2 to 0}

nf =

```
77.3599      0      0      0      0      0  
0 69.5242      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0 13.4662      0      0  
0      0      0      0 12.0632      0  
0      0      0      0      0 4.7964  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0
```

>> nf(4,4)=0

nf =

```
77.3599      0      0      0      0      0  
0 69.5242      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0 12.0632      0  
0      0      0      0      0 4.7964  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0
```

>> nf(5,5)=0

nf =

```
77.3599      0      0      0      0      0  
0 69.5242      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0 4.7964  
0      0      0      0      0      0  
0      0      0      0      0      0
```

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0

>> nf(6,6)=0

nf =

>> df*nf*tf' {So now if we multiply $df \cdot nf \cdot tf'$ we will get the best two-rank approximation to dt}

ans =

25.9199	17.8427	9.6675	0.2091	1.4473	1.0204
25.2903	17.3881	9.4241	0.5707	1.6004	1.2030
15.3839	10.6340	5.7557	-0.6345	0.4696	0.1767
7.1509	4.9418	2.6749	-0.2741	0.2289	0.0939
44.4916	30.6704	16.6119	-0.3885	2.1006	1.3289
1.8343	0.1435	0.2308	19.3079	10.0062	10.9826
0.6514	-0.9451	-0.3215	24.0282	12.3682	13.6107
2.0500	0.4915	0.3921	15.8701	8.2527	9.0460
2.0795	-0.8335	-0.1419	39.0637	20.1602	22.1631
4.3631	1.8334	1.1534	20.2056	10.5978	11.5782

>> df*nf {Now while doing LSI, we don't need to compute the approximation—we just need the reduced coordinates of the documents, which is given by $df*nf$ —and corresponds to just the first two columns of the original $df*ff$ matrix}

ans =

```

-30.8998 11.4912    0    0    0    0
-30.3131 10.7801    0    0    0    0
-18.0007  7.7138    0    0    0    0
-8.3765   3.5611    0    0    0    0
-52.7057  20.6051    0    0    0    0
-10.8052 -21.9140    0    0    0    0
-11.5080 -28.0101    0    0    0    0
-9.5259  -17.7666    0    0    0    0
-19.9219 -45.0751    0    0    0    0
-14.2118 -21.8263    0    0    0    0

```

>> df*ff {here is df*ff matrix so you can check that df*nf is just the first two columns of this one}

ans =

```

-30.8998 11.4912  1.6746 -3.1330  2.2603 -1.5582
-30.3131 10.7801  0.2064 10.3670 -3.5474 -1.6751
-18.0007  7.7138 -0.8413 -5.5394  1.8438 -2.5841
-8.3765   3.5611 -0.3232 -1.9162  0.7432 -1.3505
-52.7057  20.6051 -1.7193 -2.1159 -0.6817  2.9396
-10.8052 -21.9140  5.1744  0.3599  3.0090  0.2561
-11.5080 -28.0101 -15.8265  0.1919  1.1998  0.2137
-9.5259  -17.7666 -8.7594  2.7675  4.7017 -0.3354
-19.9219 -45.0751  4.4501 -3.1140 -7.2601 -0.3766
-14.2118 -21.8263 12.0655  2.7734  6.1728  0.5132

```

>> dbq=[50 0 0 0 0 0] {Now if we got a query that corresponds to 50 instances of the word database and nothing else, its representation in the original space will be this...}

dbq =

```
50  0  0  0  0  0
```

>> dbq*tf {To get its representation in the transformed space, we just need to multiply the query by tf; to take just the 2-d projection of the query, take the first two columns in the following. Notices that -36 and 13 are “sort of close” to the database docs which are (-30, 11), (-30, 10) etc—see df*nf}

ans =

```
-36.7581 13.9393  2.0671 30.3701 -4.1554 -3.2649
```

```
>>> sqlq=[0 50 0 0 0 0] {Now if you have another query which is just 50 instances of sql, its representation is as below. Now, notice that the dot product of sqlq and dbq will be zero—since there is no dimension where they are both simultaneously non-zero. So, cosine similarity in the original space would have said that these queries are *completely* dissimilar!—even though you would think database and sql are “related” through co-occurrence.}
```

```
sqlq =
```

```
0 50 0 0 0 0
```

```
>> sqlq*tf {However, if we get the transformed coordinates of sqlq—which is given by sqlq*tf, we get the following vector. Now, if we again take just the first dimensions, we get (-24.5, 11). This, as you can see is pretty close (in cosine-theta terms) to the reduced transformed representation of dbq which is (-36, 13). }
```

```
ans =
```

```
-24.5010 11.7533 -3.0220 -35.7785 8.7842 -19.8787
```

```
>>[-24.5 11.75]*[-36.75 13.93]' / (norm([-24.5 11.75])*norm([-36.75 13.93])) {Indeed, their cosine theta metric, computed as their dot product divided by their norms, comes out very close to 1}
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.9964
```

```
>> (sqlq*tf) * (dbq * tf)' {Notice that if we *do not reduce* the dimensionality after transformation, then dbq*tf and sqlq*tf will still be orthogonal in the 6-D space. Only their 2-D projections are not orthogonal! This is not hard to see since the dot product of two vectors v and u is given by v*u'. Thus (sqlq*tf) * (dbq * tf)', when simplified (and realizing that transpose over multiplication reverses the multiplication order), gives sqlq*tf*tf'*dbq'. Since tf*tf'=I, we are just re-computing sqlq*dbq'—or the dot product in the original space—which is still zero!).}
```

```
ans =
```

```
0
```

{So dimensionality reduction is important to realize the intrinsic similarity between these documents!..

But on the other hand, if you reduce it too low—say to 1-d, then *everything* will be fully similar to everything on the cosine-theta metric—do you see that?..}